



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/483,883	01/18/2000	Mitsunobu Ono	P/16-251 8978		
7590 03/28/2006			EXAMINER		
Steven I Weist	ourd	AN, SHAWN S			
Ostrolenk Faber 1180 Avenue of	Gerb & Soffen LLP the Americas	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
New YORK, N	Y 10036-8403	2621			
			DATE MAILED: 03/28/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			09/483,883	ONO ET AL.					
			Examiner	Art Unit					
			Shawn S. An	2613 262 1					
	The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appe	ears on the cover sheet w		address				
Period fo	or Reply								
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN THE IN THE INTERIOR OF THE INTERIOR	MAILING DA ns of 37 CFR 1.136 nmunication. statutory period will ly will, by statute, of	TE OF THIS COMMUN 6(a). In no event, however, may a 1 apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of thi BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	·								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) fil	led on 23 Jar	nuary 2006.						
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
,—									
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-12</u> is/are pending in the application.									
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-8</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 9-12</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restr	iction and/or	election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	to by the Exa	miner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form	PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	n for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)[a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 								
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* S	see the attached detailed Office action		` ''	received.					
			·						
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	DTO 6101		Summary (PTO-413)					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o			(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (F	PTO-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/483,883

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination

1. The request filed on 1/23/06 for a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 based on parent Application No. 09/483,883 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

Response to Amendment

2. As per Applicant's instructions as filed on 1/23/06, claim 4 has been amended, claims 1-3 have been canceled, and claims 5-8 have been withdrawn.

Response to Remarks

3. As per Applicant's remarks/arguments, please refer to the following grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura et al (5,627,583) in view of Kato (4,831,444).

Regarding claim 4, Nakamura et al discloses an endoscope apparatus, comprising:

a general purpose video processing circuit (Figs. 2 and 4, 16) for having a drive signal generation function (21) for driving the image pickup device, and a signal

Application/Control Number: 09/483,883

Art Unit: 2613

processing function (13, 14, or 23) for outputting the standard video signal by processing the output signal from the image pickup device; and

an endoscope function adjusting circuit (Figs. 2 and 4, 16; Fig. 8, 70) comprising a function modifying circuit (24, 25, 26, or 29), connected to the video processing circuit, for modifying at least one of the drive signal processing function (21) and the signal processing function (13, 14, or 23) in accordance with the endoscope having the image pick-up device therein;

wherein the endoscope comprises a delay amount adjusting circuit (Fig. 8, 91) for adjusting signal delay.

Nakamura et al fails to disclose an endoscopic function adjusting circuit comprising a delay amount adjusting circuit for canceling the effect of a signal delay taking place in a signal cable connecting the image pick-up device to the signal processing circuit, by adjusting timings of drive signals of the solid-state image pickup device.

Furthermore, Nakamura et al does not specifically disclose the video processing circuit and the endoscopic function adjusting circuit being provided in <u>only</u> two-substrates in a common signal processing apparatus.

However, Kato teaches an endoscopic function adjusting circuit (Fig. 8C, 36) comprising a delay amount adjusting circuit (36) for canceling the effect of a signal delay taking place in a signal cable (17) connecting the image pick-up device (20) to the signal processing circuit (30) by adjusting timings of drive signals (22) of the solid-state image pickup device (20).

Moreover, Kato's Fig. 8 shows a general purpose video processing circuit (Fig. 8C, 12) and an endoscopic function adjusting circuit (36) being provided in <u>only</u> two-substrates in a common signal processing apparatus (12).

Note: the general purpose video processing circuit comprises elements 38, 40, 34, 32, and 30 as <u>one</u>-substrate, and the function adjusting circuit serves as <u>another</u>-substrate. Likewise, Applicant's Fig. 2 shows the general purpose video processing circuit (30) comprises elements 40, 41, 52, 53, 58, 59, and 32 as <u>one</u>-substrate, and the function adjusting circuit (31) serves as <u>another</u>-substrate.

Application/Control Number: 09/483,883 Page 4

Art Unit: 2618

Therefore, it would have been considered obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the relevant art employing an endoscope apparatus as taught by Nakamura et al to incorporate all of the Kato's teachings as above for synchronization of a timing signal as well as the convenient layout of the video processing circuit and the function adjusting circuit, thereby adverse effect of delay and deterioration of signal during transmission through the signal line are compensated.

Regarding claim 9, Kato teaches signal processing circuit as being usable with a plurality of insert sections having different respective lengths and correspondingly different internal delay amounts (col. 2, lines 5-12).

Regarding claim 10, Nakamura et al discloses the signal processing circuit as being usable with a plurality of solid-state imaging devices having different respective number of pixels (Figs. 1(a)-1(b), 11-12); col. 2, lines 11-15).

Regarding claim 11, Nakamura et al discloses a solid-state image pickup device (Fig. 2, 11 or 12) mounted at the end of an insertion section of an endoscope;

a signal processing circuit (Figs. 2 and 4, 16) for driving the image pickup device and for producing a standard video signal in response to an output signal from the image pickup device;

wherein the signal processing circuit comprises the video processing circuit (16) and the function adjusting circuit (Fig. 8, 70).

Regarding claim 12, Kato teaches controlling a wave shaping operation for wave shaping (compensating waveform deterioration) the output signal from the solid-state image pickup device such as CCD (col. 1, lines 31-40; col. 2, lines 5-12).

Conclusion

- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to *Shawn S An* whose telephone number is 571-272-7324.
- 7. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2613

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SHAWN AN PRIMARY EXAMINER